

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,091		12/02/2003	Sebastian Sommer	22700	6002	
535	7590	05/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE FIRM	OF KA	RL F ROSS	AFTERGU	AFTERGUT, JEFF H		
5676 RIVER PO BOX 900		VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900			1733		
				DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,091	SOMMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be set of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this comr IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) described to	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		-	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certifie	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-9-04.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		52)

Application/Control Number: 10/727,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Putnam et al.

Putnam et al suggested that it was known to make a spunbonded web comprising the steps of forming filaments of thermoplastic synthetic resin (column 10, lines 4-7, column 1, lines 51-57), collecting the filaments in a spunbonded web, treating the filaments with a wetting agent (column 14, lines 33-38) and hydrodynamically

Art Unit: 1733

consolidating the spunbonded web (column 10, line 65-column 11, line 2). The reference to Putnam et al suggested that those versed in the art would have understood that the filaments were produced in a spinneret and subsequently cooled. Additionally the reference suggested that the filaments were collected upon a moving porous support wire (a wire in the form of an endless belt is depicted, i.e. a foraminous belt). The reference additionally taught that air would have been drawn through the wire as the continuous filaments were being collected, see column 10, lines 22-24. the reference also suggested that the filaments were treated with a surfactant subsequent to being formed into the spunbonded web as the treatment was a secondary chemical treatment which took place subsequent to the water jet entangling of the web. It should be noted that the hydrodynamic consolidation of the web took place with a water jet entangling of the same.

While the reference to Putnam et al suggested that continuous filaments were drawn down onto a porous wire screen (with the use of vacuum from below the screen) to form a web of continuous filaments, the reference never expressly refers to this so formed web as a spunbonded web of material. The applicant is advised that one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have understood that the spunbonding of a web included the extrusion of the filaments from a spinnerets followed by the drawing and cooling of the same and collection on a porous screen material where one additionally employed draw down at the screen in order to collect the filaments thereon. This is nothing more that what was performed by Putnam et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 1733

made to treat a spunbonded web via a hydroentangling operation as well as treatment with a surfactant in accordance with the techniques suggested by Putnam et al as Putnam et al suggested that extruded continuous filament webs formed on a foraminous screen would have been suitably treated in this manner to provide the webs with the desired strength and chemical characteristics.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Goldwasser et al suggested that one skilled in the art would have incorporated a surfactant in with the polymer material utilized to form the spunbonded fiber webs prior to hydroentangling or applied a coating on the surface of the web after hydroentangling.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff H. Aftergut whose telephone number is 571-272-1212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:15-345 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,091

Art Unit: 1733

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1733

JHA May 8, 2006